arXiv:1701.01889v1 [math.FA] 7 Jan 2017

DIMENSION-FREE L^p ESTIMATES FOR VECTORS OF RIESZ TRANSFORMS ASSOCIATED WITH ORTHOGONAL EXPANSIONS

BŁAŻEJ WRÓBEL

Abstract. An explicit Bellman function is used to prove a bilinear embedding theorem for operators associated with general multi-dimensional orthogonal expansions on product spaces. This is then applied to obtain L^p, $1 < p < \infty$, boundedness of appropriate vectorial Riesz transforms, in particular in the case of Jacobi polynomials. Our estimates for the L^p norms of these Riesz transforms are both dimension-free and linear in $\max(p, p/(p-1))$. The approach we present allows us to avoid the use of both differential forms and general spectral multipliers.

1. Introduction

The classical Riesz transforms on $\mathbb{R}^d$ are the operators

$$R_i f(x) = \partial_{x_i}(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^d})^{-1/2} f(x), \qquad i = 1, \dots, d.$$

In [32] E. M. Stein proved that the vector of Riesz transforms

$$\mathbf{R} f = (R_1 f, \dots, R_d f)$$

has L^p bounds which are independent of the dimension. More precisely

$$\|\mathbf{R} f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leqslant C_p \, \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \qquad 1 < p < \infty, \tag{1.1}$$

where C_p is independent of the dimension d. Note that (1.1) is formally the same as the a priori bound

$$\big\| |\nabla f| \big\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leqslant C_p \, \big\| (-\Delta)^{1/2} f \big\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Later it was realized that, for $1 < p < 2$ one may take $C_p \leqslant C(p-1)^{-1}$ in (1.1), see [2], [11]. It is worth mentioning that the best constant in (1.1) remains unknown when $d \geq 2$; the best results to date are given in [3] and [16].

The main goal of this paper is to generalize (1.1) to product settings different than $\mathbb{R}^d = \mathbb{R} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{R}$ with the product Lebesgue measure. Our starting point is the observation that the classical Riesz transform can be written as $R_i = \delta_i (\sum_{i=1}^d L_i)^{-1/2}$ where $\delta_i = \partial_{x_i}$, and $L_i = \delta_i^* \delta_i$. The generalized Riesz transforms we pursue are of the same form

$$R_i = \delta_i L^{-1/2}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, d, \tag{1.2}$$

with δ_i being an operator on $L^2(X_i, \mu_i)$,

$$L_i = \delta_i^* \delta_i, \qquad \text{and} \qquad L = \sum_{i=1}^d L_i.$$

Here the adjoint δ_i^* is taken with regards to the inner product on $L^2(X_i, \mu_i)$, where μ_i is a non-negative Borel measure which is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Throughout the paper we assume that each X_i, $i = 1, \dots, d$, is an

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42C10, 42A50, 33C50.

Key words and phrases. Riesz transform, Bellman function, orthogonal expansion.

open interval in $\mathbb{R}$, half-interval in $\mathbb{R}$ or is the real line; we also set $X = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_d$ and $\mu = \mu_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_d$. We consider δ_i being given by

$$\delta_i f(x) = p_i(x_i)\, \partial_{x_i} + q_i(x_i), \qquad x_i \in X_i,$$

for some real-valued functions $p_i \in C^2(X_i)$ and $q_i \in C^1(X_i)$. We remark that a significant difference between the classical Riesz transforms and the general Riesz transforms (1.2) lies in the fact that the operators δ_i and δ_i^* do not need to commute.

There are two assumptions which are critical to our results. Firstly, a computation, see [28, p. 683], shows that the commutator $[\delta_i, \delta_i^*]$ is a function which we call v_i. We assume that v_i is non-negative, cf. (A1). Secondly, it is not hard to see that $L = \sum_{i=1}^d L_i$ may be written as $L = \tilde{L} + r$, where $\tilde{L}$ is a purely differential operator (without a zero order potential term) and r is the potential term. We impose that $\sum_{i=1}^d q_i^2$ is controlled from below by a constant times r, namely $\sum_{i=1}^d q_i^2 \leq K \cdot r$, for some $K \geqslant 0$, cf. (A2). In several cases we will consider we can take $K = 1$ or $K = 0$. When 0 is not an L^2 eigenvalue of L our main result can be summarized as follows.

Theorem. *Set $p^* = \max(p, p/(p-1))$. Then the vectorial Riesz transform $\mathbf{R}f = (R_1 f, \ldots, R_d f)$ with R_i given by (1.2) satisfies the bounds*

$$\|\mathbf{R}f\|_{L^p(X,\mu)} \leqslant 24(1 + \sqrt{K})(p^* - 1)\|f\|_{L^p(X,\mu)}, \qquad 1 < p < \infty.$$

In other words, introducing $\delta f = (\delta_1 f, \ldots, \delta_d f)$, we have

$$\big\||\delta f|\big\|_{L^p(X,\mu)} \leqslant 24(1 + \sqrt{K})(p^* - 1)\left\|L^{1/2} f\right\|_{L^p(X,\mu)}, \qquad 1 < p < \infty.$$

To prove formally this theorem we need some extra technical assumptions. For the sake of clarity of the presentation we decided to concentrate on the case of orthogonal expansions, when each of the operators $L_i = \delta_i^* \delta_i$ has a decomposition in terms of some orthonormal basis. Our precise setting is described in detail in Section 2. We follow the approach of Nowak and Stempak from [28], in fact the present paper may be thought of as an L^p counterpart for a large part of the L^2 results from [28]. Adding the technical assumptions (T1), (T2), and (T3) to the crucial assumptions (A1) and (A2) we state our main result as Theorem 2 in Section 3. In all the cases we will consider, the projection Π appearing in Theorem 2 is either the identity operator or has its L^p norm bounded by 2 for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Moreover, we have $\Pi = I$ if and only if 0 is not an L^2 eigenvalue of L.

From Theorem 2 we obtain several new dimension-free bounds for vectors of Riesz transforms connected with classical multi-dimensional orthogonal expansions. For more details we refer to the examples in Section 5. For instance in Section 5.3 we obtain the dimension-free boundedness for the vector of Riesz transforms in the case of Jacobi polynomial expansions. This answers a question left open in Nowak and Sjögren's [26]. Moreover, the approach we present gives a unified way to treat dimension-free estimates for vectors of Riesz transforms. In most of the previous cases separate papers were written for each of the classical orthogonal expansions. More unified approaches were recently presented by Forzani, Sasso, and Scotto in [12] and by the author in [36]. However, these papers treat only dimension-free estimates for scalar Riesz transforms and not for the vector of Riesz transforms.

Let us remark that Theorem 2 formally cannot be applied to some cases where the crucial assumptions on v_i and r continue to hold. This is true when L has a purely continuous spectrum, for instance for the classical Riesz transforms on $\mathbb{R}^d$ (when $v_i = 0$

and $r = 0$). However, it is not difficult to modify the proof of Theorem 2 so that it remains valid for the classical Riesz transforms. We believe that a similar procedure can be applied to other cases outside the scope of Theorem 2, as long as the crucial assumptions (A1) and (A2) are satisfied.

We deduce Theorem 2 from a bilinear embedding theorem (see Theorem 4) together with a bilinear formula (see Proposition 3). The main tool that is used to prove Theorem 4 is the Bellman function technique. This method was introduced to harmonic analysis by Nazarov, Treil, and Volberg [23]. The proof of Theorem 4 is presented in Section 4 and is a consequence of a bilinear embedding theorem based on subtle properties of a particular Bellman function. This approach was devised by Dragičević and Volberg in [8, 9, 10]. Carbonaro and Dragičević developed the method further in [6]. The approach from [6] was recently adapted by Mauceri and Spinelli in [20] to the case of the Laguerre operator. Our paper generalizes simultaneously [9] (as we admit a non-negative potential r) and [20] (as we consider general p_i in $\delta_i = p_i\partial_{x_i} + q_i$).

In some applications of the Bellman function method the authors needed to prove dimension-free bounds on L^p for particular spectral multipliers related to the considered operators, see [9] for such a situation. In other papers mentioned in the previous paragraph they needed to consider operators acting on differential forms, cf. [6] and [20]. One of the merits of our approach is that we avoid to use both general spectral multipliers and differential forms. This is achieved by means of the bilinear formula from Proposition 3. This formula relates the Riesz transform R_i with an integral where only δ_i and two kinds of semigroups (one for L and one for $L + v_i$) are present, see (3.2).

For the sake of simplicity we use a real-valued Bellman function in Section 4. Thus our main results Theorems 2 and 4 apply to real-valued functions. Of course they can be easily extended to complex valued-functions with the constants being twice as large. One may improve the estimates further by using a complex-valued Bellman function as it was done in [8], [9], and [10].

Notations. We finish this section by introducing the general notations used in the paper. By $\mathbb{N}$ we denote the set of non-negative integers. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and Y being an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$ the symbol $C^n(Y)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denotes the space of real-valued functions which have continuous partial derivatives in Y up to the order n. In particular $C^0(Y) = C(Y)$ denotes the space of continuous functions on Y equipped with the supremum norm. By $C^\infty(Y)$ we mean the space of infinitely differentiable functions on Y. Whenever we say that ν is a measure on Y we mean that ν is a Borel measure on Y. The symbols ∇f and $\operatorname{Hess} f$ stand for the gradient and the Hessian of a function $f\colon \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$. For $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we denote by $\langle a, b\rangle$, the inner product on $\mathbb{R}^N$ and set $|a|^2 = \langle a, a\rangle$. The actual N should be clear from the context (in fact we always have $N \in \{1, d, d + 1\}$.) For $p \in (1, \infty)$ we set

$$p^* = \max\left(p, \frac{p}{p-1}\right).$$

2. Preliminaries

Our notations will closely follow that of [28]. All the functions we consider are real-valued.

For $i = 1, \ldots, d$, let X_i be either an open interval or an open half-interval in $\mathbb{R}$ of the form

$$X_i = (\sigma_i, \Sigma_i), \text{ where } -\infty \leqslant \sigma_i < \Sigma_i \leqslant \infty.$$

Consider the measure spaces $(X_i, \mathcal{B}_i, \mu_i)$, where $\mathcal{B}_i$ denotes the σ-algebra of Borel subsets of X_i and μ_i is a Borel measure on X_i. We impose that $d\mu_i(x_i) = w_i(x_i)\, dx_i$, where w_i is a positive C^2 function in X_i. Note that in [28] the authors assumed that $X_1 = \cdots = X_d$; this is however not needed in our paper. Throughout the article we let

$$X = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_d, \qquad \mu = \mu_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_d,$$

and abbreviate

$$L^p := L^p(X, \mu), \qquad \|\cdot\|_p = \|\cdot\|_{L^p}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \|\cdot\|_{p\to p} = \|\cdot\|_{L^p \to L^p}.$$

This notation is also used for vector-valued functions. Namely, if $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_N)\colon X \to \mathbb{R}$, for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\|\mathbf{g}\|_p = \left(\int_X |\mathbf{g}(x)|^p \, d\mu(x) \right)^{1/p}, \qquad \text{with} \qquad |\mathbf{g}(x)| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^N |g_i(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

We shall also write $\langle f, g \rangle_{L^2}$ for $\langle f, g \rangle_{L^2(X,\mu)}$.

Let δ_i, $i = 1, \dots, d$, be the operators acting on $C_c^\infty(X_i)$ functions via

$$\delta_i = p_i \partial_{x_i} + q_i.$$

Here p_i and q_i are real-valued functions on X_i, with $p_i \in C^2(X_i)$ and $q_i \in C^1(X_i)$. We assume that $p_i(x_i) \neq 0$, for $x_i \in X_i$. We shall also denote by p and q the exponents of L^p and L^q spaces. This will not lead to any confusion as the functions p_i and q_i will always appear with the index $i = 1, \dots, d$.

Let δ_i^* be the formal adjoint of δ_i with regards to the inner product on $L^2(X_i, \mu_i)$, i.e.

$$\delta_i^* f = -\frac{1}{w_i} \partial_{x_i} \big(p_i w_i f \big) + q_i f, \qquad f \in C_c^\infty(X_i).$$

A simple calculation, see [28, p. 683], shows that when $p_i \in C^2(X_i)$ and $q_i \in C^1(X_i)$ then the commutator

$$[\delta_i, \delta_i^*] = \delta_i \delta_i^* - \delta_i^* \delta_i = p_i \left(2q_i' - \left(p_i \frac{w_i'}{w_i} \right)' - p_i'' \right) =: v_i \tag{2.1}$$

is a locally integrable function (0-order operator). Most of the assumptions made in this section are of a technical nature. The assumption that is crucial to our results is the following:

$$\textit{the functions } v_i, i = 1, \dots, d, \textit{ are non-negative.} \tag{A1}$$

The property (A1) has been (explicitly or implicitly) instrumental for establishing the main results in [15], [20], [26], [34]. It is also explicitly stated by Forzani, Sasso, and Scotto as Assumption H1 c).

For a scalar $a_i \geq 0$ we let L_i and L to be given on $C_c^\infty(X)$ by

$$L_i := \delta_i^* \delta_i + a_i, \qquad L = \sum_{i=1}^d L_i.$$

Here each L_i can be considered to act either on $C_c^\infty(X_i)$ or on $C_c^\infty(X)$, thus the definition of L makes sense. Note that both L_i and L are symmetric on $C_c^\infty(X)$ with respect to the inner product on L^2. We assume that for each $i = 1, \dots, d$, there is an orthonormal basis $\{\varphi_{k_i}^i\}_{k_i \in \mathbb{N}}$ which consists of L^2 eigenvectors of L_i that correspond to non-negative eigenvalues $\{\lambda_{k_i}^i\}_{k_i \in \mathbb{N}}$, i.e.

$$L_i \varphi_{k_i}^i = \lambda_{k_i}^i \varphi_{k_i}^i.$$

Then, it must be that $\lambda_{k_i} \geq a_i$, for $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i = 1, \dots, d$. We require that the sequence $\{\lambda^i_{k_i}\}_{k_i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is strictly increasing and that $\lim_{k_i \to \infty} \lambda^i_{k_i} = \infty$. Since L_i is hypoelliptic we have $\varphi^i_{k_i} \in C^\infty(X_i)$. Setting, for $k = (k_1, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$,

$$\varphi_k = \varphi^1_{k_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi^d_{k_d}, \qquad , \tag{2.2}$$

we obtain an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors on L^2 for the operator $L = L_1 + \cdots + L_d$. The eigenvalue corresponding to φ_k is

$$\lambda_k := \lambda^1_{k_1} + \cdots + \lambda^d_{k_d},$$

so that $L\varphi_k = \lambda_k \varphi_k$. We consider the self-adjoint extension of L (still denoted by the same symbol) given by

$$Lf = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d} \lambda_k \, \langle f, \varphi_k \rangle_{L^2} \, \varphi_k$$

on the domain

$$\mathrm{Dom}(L) = \{ f \in L^2 \colon \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d} |\lambda_k|^2 | \, \langle f, \varphi_k \rangle_{L^2} \, |^2 < \infty \}.$$

We assume that the eigenfunctions $\varphi^i_{k_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, d$, are such that

$$\left\langle \delta_i \varphi^i_{k_i}, \delta_i \varphi^i_{m_i} \right\rangle_{L^2(X_i, \mu_i)} = \left\langle L_i \varphi^i_{k_i}, \varphi^i_{m_i} \right\rangle_{L^2(X_i, \mu_i)}, \tag{T1}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, d$, and $k_i, m_i \in \mathbb{N}$, cf. [28, eq. (2.8)]. The condition (T1) implies that the functions

$$\delta_i \varphi_k = \varphi^1_{k_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \delta_i \varphi^i_{k_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi^d_{k_d} \tag{2.3}$$

are pairwise orthogonal on L^2 and

$$\langle \delta_i \varphi_k, \delta_i \varphi_k \rangle_{L^2} = \lambda^i_{k_i} - a_i.$$

cf. [28, Lemma 5,6]. Moreover, since $\varphi_k \in C^\infty(X)$ we also see that $\delta_i \varphi_k \in C^\infty(X)$.

We also impose a boundary condition on the functions $\varphi^i_{k_i}$ and $\delta_i \varphi^i_{k_i}$. Namely, we require that for each $i = 1, \dots, d$, if $z_i \in \{\sigma_i, \Sigma_i\}$ then,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x_i \to z_i} \left[(1 + |\varphi^i_{k_i}|^{s_1} + |\delta_i \varphi^i_{k_i}|^{s_2}) (p_i^2 \, w_i \, \partial_{x_i} \varphi^i_{k_i}) \right] (x_i) = 0, \\ \lim_{x_i \to z_i} \left[(1 + |\varphi^i_{k_i}|^{s_1} + |\delta_i \varphi^i_{k_i}|^{s_2}) (p_i^2 \, w_i \, \partial_{x_i} \delta_i \varphi^i_{k_i}) \right] (x_i) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{T2}$$

for all $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s_1, s_2 > 0$. Condition (T2) is close to the assumption H1 a) from [12]. Observe that the term $|\varphi^i_{k_i}|^{s_1} + |\delta_i \varphi^i_{k_i}|^{s_2}$ in (T2) is significant only when the functions $\varphi^i_{k_i}$ and $\delta_i \varphi^i_{k_i}$ are unbounded on X_i.

Let

$$A = a_1 + \cdots + a_d, \qquad \Lambda_0 = \lambda^1_0 + \cdots + \lambda^d_0.$$

Then Λ_0 is the smallest eigenvalue of L. We set

$$\mathbb{N}^d_\Lambda = \begin{cases} \mathbb{N}^d, & \Lambda_0 > 0 \\ \mathbb{N}^d \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\}, & \Lambda_0 = 0. \end{cases}$$

and define

$$\Pi f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d_\Lambda} \langle f, \varphi_k \rangle_{L^2} \, \varphi_k.$$

Then in the case $\Lambda_0 > 0$ we have $\Pi = I$, while in the case $\Lambda_0 = 0$ the operator Π is the projection onto the orthogonal complement of the vector $\varphi_{(0,\dots,0)}$. The Riesz transforms studied in this paper are formally of the form

$$R_i := \delta_i L^{-1/2} \Pi,$$

while the rigorous definition of R_i is

$$R_i f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_\Lambda^d} \lambda_k^{-1/2} \langle f, \varphi_k \rangle_{L^2} \, \delta_i \varphi_k \tag{2.4}$$

In many of the considered cases $\Pi \equiv 0$ so that $R_i = \delta_i L^{-1/2}$ and $\mathbb{N}_\Lambda^d = \mathbb{N}^d$.

It was proved in [28, Proposition 1] that the vector of Riesz transforms

$$\mathbf{R} f = (R_1 f, \dots, R_d f)$$

satisfies

$$\|\mathbf{R} f\|_{2 \to 2} \leqslant \|f\|_p.$$

The main goal of this paper is to prove similar estimates for p in place of 2. We aim at these estimates being dimension-free and linear in p^*.

To state and prove our main results we need several auxiliary objects. Firstly, we let

$$\mathfrak{d}_i = p_i \partial_{x_i}. \tag{2.5}$$

That is, $\mathfrak{d}_i$ is the 'differential' part of δ_i. In many (though not all) of our applications we will have $q_i \equiv 0$ and thus $\delta_i \equiv \mathfrak{d}_i$. The formal adjoin of $\mathfrak{d}_i$ on $L^2(X_i, \mu_i)$ is

$$\mathfrak{d}_i^* f = -\frac{1}{w_i} \partial_{x_i} \big(p_i w_i f \big), \qquad f \in C_c^\infty(X_i). \tag{2.6}$$

A computation shows that $L_i = \mathfrak{d}_i^* \mathfrak{d}_i + r_i$, whith

$$r_i = a_i + \left(q_i^2 - p_i q_i' - p_i' q_i - p_i q_i \frac{w_i'}{w_i} \right). \tag{2.7}$$

We shall also need

$$\tilde{L} := \sum_{i=1}^d \mathfrak{d}_i^* \mathfrak{d}_i = L - r, \qquad \text{where } r := \sum_{i=1}^n r_i.$$

Then $\tilde{L}$ is the potential-free component of L and the potential r is a locally integrable function on X. We assume that

$$\textit{there is a constant } K \geqslant 0 \textit{ such that } \sum_{i=1}^d q_i^2(x_i) \leq K \cdot r(x), \tag{A2}$$

for almost every $x \in X$. In many of our examples we shall have $q = 0$ and thus (A2) holding with $K = 0$.

Next we define

$$M_i := \sum_{j \neq i} \delta_j^* \delta_j + \delta_i \delta_i^* = L + [\delta_i, \delta_i^*] = L + v_i,$$

cf.[28, (eq. 5.1)], and set

$$c_k^i = \|\delta_i \varphi_k\|_2^{-1},$$

if $\delta_i \varphi_k \neq 0$ and $c_k^i = 0$ in the other case. Then $\{c_k^i \delta_i \varphi_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d}$ (excluding those of $c_k^i \delta_i \varphi_k$ which vanish) is an orthonormal system of eigenvectors of M_i such that $M_i(c_k^i \delta_i \varphi_k)$ equals $\lambda_k c_k^i \delta_i \varphi_k$.

We denote

$$\mathcal{D} = \lin\{\varphi_k \colon k \in \mathbb{N}^d\}, \qquad \mathcal{D}_i = \delta_i[\mathcal{D}] = \lin\{\delta_i\varphi_k \colon k \in \mathbb{N}^d\},$$

and make the technical assumption that

$$\text{both } \mathcal{D} \text{ and } \mathcal{D}_i,\ i = 1, \dots, d, \text{ are dense subspaces of } L^p,\ 1 \le p < \infty. \tag{T3}$$

In most of our applications the condition (T3) will follow from [12, Lemma 7.5], which is itself a consequence of [4, Theorem 5].

Lemma 1 ([12, Lemma 7.5])**.** *Assume that ν is a measure on X such that, for some $\varepsilon > 0$ we have*

$$\int_X \exp\left(\varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^d |y_i|\right) d\nu(y) < \infty.$$

Then, for each $1 \leqslant p < \infty$, multivariable polynomials on are dense in $L^p(X, \nu)$.

In what follows we consider the self-adjoint extension of M_i given by

$$M_i f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d} \lambda_k \left\langle f, c_k^i\, \delta_i\varphi_k \right\rangle_{L^2} c_k^i\, \delta_i\varphi_k, \tag{2.8}$$

on the domain

$$\mathrm{Dom}(M_i) = \{f \in L^2 \colon \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d} |\lambda_k|^2 |\left\langle f, c_k^i \delta_i\varphi_k \right\rangle_{L^2}|^2 < \infty\}.$$

Keeping the symbol M_i for this self-adjoint extension is a slight abuse of notation, which however will not lead to any confusion. Finally, we shall need the semigroups

$$P_t := e^{-tL^{1/2}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad Q_t^i := e^{-tM_i^{1/2}}.$$

These are formally defined on L^2 as

$$P_t f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d} e^{-t\lambda_k^{1/2}} \left\langle f, \varphi_k \right\rangle_{L^2} \varphi_k, \qquad Q_t^i f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d} e^{-t\lambda_k^{1/2}} \left\langle f, c_k^i\, \delta_i\varphi_k \right\rangle_{L^2} c_k^i\, \delta_i\varphi_k.$$

Note that for $t > 0$ we have $P_t[\mathcal{D}] \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ and $Q_t^i[\mathcal{D}_i] \subseteq \mathcal{D}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, d$.

3. General results for Riesz transforms

Recall that we are in the setting of the previous section. In particular the assumptions (A1), (A2), and the technical assumptions (T1), (T2), (T3), are in force. The following is the main result of our paper.

Theorem 2. *For each $1 < p < \infty$ we have*

$$\|\mathbf{R} f\|_p \leqslant 24(1 + \sqrt{K})(p^* - 1)\|\Pi f\|_{L^p}, \qquad f \in L^p. \tag{3.1}$$

Remark. In all the examples we consider in Section 5 the projection Π satisfies $\|\Pi\|_{p\to p} \leqslant 2$, $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$. In fact in many of the examples Π equals the identity operator.

For the proof of Theorem 2 we need two ingredients. The first of these ingredients is a bilinear formula that relates the Riesz transform with an integral in which both P_t and Q_t^i are present.

Proposition 3. *Let $i = 1, \dots, d$. Then the formula*

$$\langle R_i f, g\rangle_{L^2} = -4 \int_0^\infty \left\langle \delta_i P_t \Pi f, \partial_t Q_t^i g \right\rangle_{L^2} t\, dt, \tag{3.2}$$

holds for $f \in \mathcal{D}$ and $g \in \mathcal{D}_i$.

Before proving the proposition let us make two remarks.

Remark 1. Formulas similar to (3.2) were proved before, though, depending on the context, they may have involved spectral multipliers of the operator L. However, treating these spectral multipliers appropriately was achieved with variable success. A way of avoiding multipliers was first devised in [6] for Riesz transforms on manifolds. In such a setting, the above formula is a special case of the identity (3) there. The approach in [6] was adapted in [20] to the case of Hodge-Laguerre operators. In the case of Laguerre polynomial expansions (see Section 5.2) the formula (3.2) is a special case of [20, eq. (5.1)]. We note that both in [6] and [20] the authors needed to consider the Riesz transform as well as formula (3.2) for differential forms; this is not needed in our approach.

Remark 2. Note that if the operators δ_i and δ_i^* commute, then $Q_t^i = P_t$ and the formula (3.2) can be formally obtained via the spectral theorem. The problem is that often these operators do not commute. A way to overcome this non-commutativity problem was devised by Nowak and Stempak in [30]. They introduced a symmetrization T_i of δ_i that does commute with its adjoint, i.e. satisfies $T_i^* = -T_i$. These symmetrization is defined on $L^2(\tilde{X})$, where

$$\tilde{X} = (X_1 \cup (-X_1)) \times \cdots \times (X_d \times (-X_d)).$$

Set $T = -\sum_{i=1}^n T_i^2$ and let $S_t = e^{-tT^{1/2}}$. The formula (3.2) for T_i is then formally

$$\left\langle T_i T^{-1/2} f, g \right\rangle_{L^2(\tilde{X})} = -4 \int_0^\infty \langle T_i S_t f, \partial_t S_t g \rangle_{L^2(\tilde{X})} \, t \, dt. \tag{3.3}$$

This leads to a proof of (3.2) different then the one presented in our paper. Namely, a computation shows that applying (3.3) to functions $f\colon \tilde{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $g\colon \tilde{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ which are both even in all the variables we arrive at (3.2).

Proof of Proposition 3. We start with proving (3.2) for $f = \varphi_k$ and $g = \delta_i \varphi_n$, with some $k, n \in \mathbb{N}^d$. If $k = 0$ and $\Lambda_0 = 0$ then both sides of (3.2) vanish. Thus we can assume that $\lambda_k > 0$. A computation shows that

$$\left\langle \delta_i L^{-1/2} f, g \right\rangle_{L^2} = \lambda_k^{-1/2} \langle \delta_i f, g \rangle_{L^2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -4 \int_0^\infty \left\langle \delta_i P_t f, \partial_t Q_t^{(j)} g \right\rangle_{L^2} t \, dt &= -4 \int_0^\infty \left\langle e^{-t\lambda_k^{1/2}} \delta_i f, -\lambda_n^{1/2} e^{-t\lambda_n^{1/2}} g \right\rangle_{L^2} t \, dt \\ &= 4\lambda_n^{1/2} \int_0^\infty e^{-t(\lambda_k^{1/2} + \lambda_n^{1/2})} t \, dt \cdot \langle \delta_i f, g \rangle_{L^2} \\ &= \frac{4\lambda_n^{1/2}}{(\lambda_k^{1/2} + \lambda_n^{1/2})^2} \cdot \langle \delta_i f, g \rangle_{L^2}, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\langle \delta_i L^{-1/2} f, g \right\rangle + 4 \int_0^\infty \left\langle \delta_i P_t f, \partial_t Q_t^{(j)} g \right\rangle_{L^2} t \, dt \\ &\qquad = \left(\lambda_k^{-1/2} - \frac{4\lambda_n^{1/2}}{(\lambda_k^{1/2} + \lambda_n^{1/2})^2} \right) \cdot \langle \delta_i f, g \rangle_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Now $\delta_i f$ is also an L^2 eigenvector for M_i corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_k. Consequently, since eigenspaces for M_i corresponding to different eigenvalues are orthogonal,

$\langle \delta_i f, g\rangle$ is nonzero only if $\lambda_n = \lambda_k$. Coming back to (3.4) we obtain (3.2) for $f = \varphi_k$ and $g = \delta_i \varphi_n$.

Finally, by linearity (3.2) holds also for $f \in \mathcal{D}$ and $g \in \mathcal{D}_i$. □

The second ingredient we need to prove Theorem 2 is a bilinear embedding, as was the case in [6, 9, 10, 19]. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$ (the cases interesting to us being $N = 1$ and $N = d$) we take $F = (f_1, \dots, f_N) : X \times (0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}^N$ and set

$$|F|_*^2 := r|F|^2 + |\partial_t F|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^d |\mathfrak{d}_i F|^2 = r|F|^2 + \sum_{k=1}^N \Big(|\partial_t F_k|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^d |\mathfrak{d}_i F_k|^2 \Big). \tag{3.5}$$

The absolute values $|\cdot|$ in (3.5) denote the Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^N$. Below we only state our bilinear embedding. The proof of it is presented in the next section.

Theorem 4. *Let $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_d) : X^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ and assume that $f \in \mathcal{D}$ and $g_i \in \mathcal{D}_i$, for $i = 1, \dots, d$. Denote*

$$F(x,t) = P_t\, \Pi f(x) \qquad \text{and} \qquad G(x,t) = Q_t \mathbf{g} = \big(Q_t^1 g_1, \dots, Q_t^d g_d\big).$$

Then

$$\int_0^\infty \int_X |F(x,t)|_*\, |G(x,t)|_*\, d\mu(x)\, t\, dt \leqslant 6\,(p^* - 1) \|\Pi f\|_p \|\mathbf{g}\|_q. \tag{3.6}$$

Remark. The theorem can be slightly generalized, at least at a formal level. Namely in Theorem 4, we do not need that $v_j = [\delta_j, \delta_j^*]$. It is enough to have any $v_i \geq 0$ and take $Q_t = e^{-tM_i}$ with $M_i = L + v_i$.

Our main theorem is an immediate corollary of Proposition 3 and Theorem 4.

Proof of Theorem 2. It is enough to prove that for each $f \in L^p$ and $g_i \in L^q$, $i = 1, \dots, d$, the absolute value of $\sum_{i=1}^d \langle R_i f, g_i\rangle$ does not exceed

$$6(4 + \sqrt{K})(p^* - 1)\|\Pi f\|_p \left\| \Big(\sum_i |g_i|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \right\|_q.$$

A density argument based on the assumption T3 allows us to take $f \in \mathcal{D}$ and $g_i \in \mathcal{D}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, d$. From Proposition 3 we have

$$-\frac{1}{4} \langle R_i f, g_i\rangle_{L^2} = \int_0^\infty \big\langle \mathfrak{d}_i P_t \Pi f, \partial_t Q_t^i g_i \big\rangle_{L^2}\, t\, dt + \int_0^\infty \big\langle q_i P_t \Pi f, \partial_t Q_t^i g_i \big\rangle_{L^2}\, t\, dt$$

and thus, assumption (A2) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \sum_{i=1}^d \langle R_i f, g_i\rangle_{L^2} \right| \\
&\leqslant 4 \int_0^\infty \int_X \left(\sqrt{K}\sqrt{r(x)} |P_t \Pi f(x)| + \Big(\sum_{i=1}^d |\mathfrak{d}_i P_t \Pi f(x)|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \right) |G(x,t)|_*\, d\mu(x) \\
&\leq 4(\sqrt{K} + 1) \int_0^\infty \int_X |F(x,t)|_*\, |G(x,t)|_*\, d\mu(x)
\end{aligned}$$

Now, Theorem 4 completes the proof. □

4. Bilinear embedding theorem

This section is devoted to the proof of our embedding theorem - Theorem 4. We shall follow closely the resoning from [6] and [20].

4.1. **The Bellman function.** Before proceeding to the proof of Theorem 4 we need to introduce its most important ingredient: the Bellman function.

Choose $p \geqslant 2$. Let $q = p/(p-1)$ and

$$\gamma = \gamma(p) = \frac{q(q-1)}{8}$$

and define $\beta_p \colon [0,\infty)^2 \to [0,\infty]$ by

$$\beta_p(s_1,s_2) = s_1^p + s_2^q + \gamma \begin{cases} s_1^2\, s_2^{2-q} & ;\ s_1^p \leqslant s_2^q \\ \dfrac{2}{p}\, s_1^p + \left(\dfrac{2}{q} - 1\right) s_2^q & ;\ s_1^p \geqslant s_2^q\,. \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

For $m = (m_1, m_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ the Nazarov-Treil Bellman function corresponding to p, m is the function

$$B = B_{p,m} \colon \mathbb{R}^{m_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m_2} \to [0,\infty)$$

given, for any $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1}$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^{m_2}$, by

$$B_{p,m}(\zeta,\eta) = \frac{1}{2}\beta_p(|\zeta|,|\eta|). \tag{4.2}$$

The function B bears its origins in the article [22] by F. Nazarov and S. Treil. It was employed (and simplified) in [5, 6, 8, 9, 10]. Note that B is $C^1(\mathbb{R}^{m_1+m_2})$ and is C^2 everywhere except on the set

$$\{(\zeta,\eta) \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m_2} \colon \eta = 0 \text{ or } |\zeta|^p = |\eta|^q\}.$$

To remedy the non-smoothness of B we consider the regularization

$$B_{\kappa,p,m} = B_\kappa := B *_{\mathbb{R}^{m_1+m_2}} \psi_\kappa,$$

where

$$\psi(x) = c_m e^{-\frac{1}{1-|x|^2}} \chi_{B^{m_1+m_2}}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_\kappa(x) = \frac{1}{\kappa^{m_1+m_2}}\psi(x/\kappa),$$

with c_m such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m_1+m_2}} \psi_\kappa(x)\,dx = 1$. Since both B and ψ_κ are bi-radial also B_κ is bi-radial. Hence, there is $\beta_\kappa = \beta_{\kappa,p}$ acting from $[0,\infty]^2$ to $\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$B_\kappa(\zeta,\eta) = \frac{1}{2}\beta_\kappa(|\zeta|,|\eta|), \qquad \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1}, \eta \in R^{m_2}.$$

We shall need some properties of B_κ that were essentially proved in [6], [9], and [19], [20].

Proposition 5. *Let $\kappa \in (0,1)$. Then, for $s_i > 0$, $i = 1,2$, we have*

(i) $0 \leqslant \beta_\kappa(s_1,s_2) \leqslant (1+\gamma(p))\left((s_1+\kappa)^p + (s_2+\kappa)^q\right)$,

(ii) $0 \leqslant \partial_{s_1}\beta_\kappa(s) \leqslant C_p \max((s_1+\kappa)^{p-1}), s_2+\kappa)$ *and* $0 \leqslant \partial_{s_2}\beta_\kappa(s) \leqslant C_p(s_2+\kappa)^{q-1}$, *with C_p being a positive constant.*

Moreover, $B_\kappa \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{m_1+m_2})$, and for any $\xi = (\zeta,\eta) \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1+m_2}$ there exists a positive $\tau_\kappa = \tau_\kappa(|\zeta|,|\eta|)$ such that for $\omega = (\omega_1,\omega_2) \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1+m_2}$ we have

(iii) $\langle \mathrm{Hess}(B_\kappa)(\xi)\omega,\omega\rangle \geqslant \frac{\gamma(p)}{2}\left(\tau_\kappa|\omega_1|^2 + \tau_\kappa^{-1}|\omega_2|^2\right)$.

(iv) $\langle (\nabla B_\kappa)(\xi),\xi\rangle \geqslant \frac{\gamma(p)}{2}\left(\tau_\kappa|\zeta|^2 + \tau_\kappa^{-1}|\eta|^2\right) - \kappa E_\kappa(\xi)$.

(v) *The function $E_\kappa(\xi)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ and satisfies*

$$\lim_{\kappa\to 0^+} E_\kappa(\xi) = 0, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}, \qquad |E_\kappa(\xi)| \leq C_{d,p}(|\zeta|^{p-1} + |\eta| + |\eta|^{q-1} + \kappa^{q-1}).$$

Proof (sketch). Let $\tau = \tau(|\zeta|, |\eta|)$ be the function from [6, Theorem 8] and define $\tau_\kappa = \tau *_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} \psi_\kappa$.

With exactly this τ_κ items i), ii), and iii) were proved in [19, Proposition 6.3]. Items iv) and v) (with the same τ_κ) follow from [9, Theorem 4 iii')], together with the observation from [6, 9] that

$$(\tau * \psi_\kappa)(\xi)(\tau^{-1} * \psi_\kappa)(\xi) \geq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} (\tau(y)\psi_\kappa(x-y))^{1/2} \left(\tau^{-1}(y)\psi_\kappa(x-y)\right)^{1/2} dy \right)^{1/2} = 1.$$

The inequality in item v) is proved in [9, p. 207]. In fact in [9, Theorem 4 iii')] a stronger statement is proved with an additional negative term $-B_\kappa(\xi)$ on the left hand side of iv). Moreover, our Bellman function B_κ coincides with $-\frac{1}{2}Q_\kappa$ from [9] (when Q_κ is restricted to real arguments). □

4.2. **Proof of Theorem 4.** Define $u : X \times (0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$ by

$$u = u(x,t) = \left(P_t\, \Pi f(x), Q_t \mathbf{g}(x)\right) = \left(P_t\, \Pi f(x), Q_t^1 g_1(x), \ldots, Q_t^d g_d(x)\right).$$

Assum first that $p \geq 2$ and set

$$b_\kappa = B_\kappa \circ u : X \times (0,\infty) \to [0,\infty).$$

Here $B_\kappa = B_{\kappa,d,p}$ is the Bellman function from Proposition 5 with $m_1 = 1$ and $m_2 = d$. For each $i = 1, \ldots, d$, we fix a sequence $\{\sigma_i^n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ which converges to σ_i, and a sequence Σ_i^n which converges to Σ_i. We also impose that $\sigma_i < \sigma_i^n < \Sigma_i^n < \Sigma_i$, for $i = 1, \ldots, d$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Defining

$$X_i^n = [\sigma_i^n, \Sigma_i^n] \qquad \text{and} \qquad X_n = X_1^n \times \cdots \times X_d^n,$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we see that $\{X_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an increasing family of compact subsets of X such that $X = \bigcup_n X_n$. We shall estimate the integral

$$I(n,\varepsilon) := \int_0^\infty \int_{X_n} (\partial_t^2 - \tilde{L})(b_{\kappa(n)})(x,t)\, d\mu(x)\, te^{-\varepsilon t} dt \tag{4.3}$$

from below and above and then, first let $n \to \infty$ and then $\varepsilon \to 0^+$. Here $\kappa(n)$ is a small quantity depending on n and r which will be determined in the proof. Since X_n is compact, $f \in \mathcal{D}$ and $g_i \in \mathcal{D}_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, d$, the integral (4.3) is in fact absolutely convergent. In what follows we will often briefly write κ instead of $\kappa(n)$.

The lower estimate of (4.3) **for** $p \geq 2$**.** The following proposition will be crucial.

Proposition 6. *Set* $\mathfrak{d}_0 := \partial_t$*. Then we have the pointwise equality*

$$\left(\partial_t^2 - \tilde{L}\right) b_\kappa = r\, \langle \nabla B_\kappa(u), u\rangle + \sum_{i=1}^d v_i \cdot (\partial_{\eta_i} B_\kappa(u) \cdot Q_t^i g_i) + \sum_{i=0}^d \langle \mathrm{Hess}(B_\kappa)(\mathfrak{d}_i u), \mathfrak{d}_i u\rangle\,, \tag{4.4}$$

and, consequently,

$$\left(\left(\partial_t^2 - \tilde{L}\right) b_\kappa\right)(x,t) \geqslant \gamma |F(x,t)|_* |G(x,t)|_* - \kappa\, r(x) E_\kappa(u(x,t)). \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. From the chain rule we have $\mathfrak{d}_i b_\kappa = p_i \langle \nabla B_\kappa(u), \partial_{x_i} u\rangle$. A computation shows that, for $i = 0, \ldots, d$,

$$\mathfrak{d}_i^* = -p_i \partial_{x_i} - p_i \frac{w_i'}{w_i} - p_i', \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{d}_i^* \mathfrak{d}_i = -p_i^2 \partial_{x_i}^2 - (p_i \frac{w_i'}{w_i} + 2p_i') p_i \partial_{x_i}.$$

Consequently, applying once again the chain rule

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{d}_i^*\mathfrak{d}_i b_\kappa &= -p_i\partial_{x_i}(p_i\langle\nabla B_\kappa(u),\partial_{x_i}u\rangle) - (p_i\frac{w_i'}{w_i}+p_i')p_i\langle\nabla B_\kappa(u),\partial_{x_i}u\rangle\\ &= -p_i^2\partial_{x_i}(\langle\nabla B_\kappa(u),\partial_{x_i}u\rangle) - a_ia_i'\langle\nabla B_\kappa(u),\partial_{x_i}u\rangle - (p_i\frac{w_i'}{w_i}+p_i')p_i\langle\nabla B_\kappa(u),\partial_{x_i}u\rangle\\ &= \left\langle\nabla B_\kappa(u), -p_i^2\partial_{x_i}^2u - (p_i\frac{w_i'}{w_i}+2a_i')p_i\partial_{x_i}u\right\rangle - p_i^2\langle\mathrm{Hess}(B_\kappa)(\partial_{x_i}u),\partial_{x_i}u\rangle\\ &= \langle\nabla B_\kappa(u),\mathfrak{d}_i^*\mathfrak{d}_iu\rangle - \langle\mathrm{Hess}(B_\kappa)(\mathfrak{d}_iu),\mathfrak{d}_iu\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Now, summing the above formula in $i=0,\dots,d$, we obtain

$$(\mathfrak{d}_0^2-\tilde{L})b_\kappa = \left\langle\nabla B_\kappa(u),(\mathfrak{d}_0^2-\tilde{L})u\right\rangle + \sum_{i=0}^d\langle\mathrm{Hess}(B_\kappa)(u)(\mathfrak{d}_iu),\mathfrak{d}_iu\rangle. \tag{4.6}$$

Using the above equality we prove (4.4). Indeed we have

$$\left(\partial_t^2-L\right)v = \left((\partial_t^2-L)P_tf,(\partial_t^2-L)Q_t\mathbf{g}\right),$$

where

$$\left(\partial_t^2-L\right)P_tf = 0$$

and

$$\left(\partial_t^2-L\right)Q_t\mathbf{g} = \left(\left(\partial_t^2-L\right)Q_t^1g_1,\dots,\left(\partial_t^2-L\right)Q_t^dg_d\right).$$

Moreover,

$$\left(\partial_t^2-L\right)Q_t^ig_i = \left(\partial_t^2-M_i\right)Q_t^ig_i + v_i\cdot Q_t^ig_i = v_i\cdot Q_t^ig_i,$$

and using (4.6) the formula (4.4) follows.

Now, item ii) of Proposition 5 implies $(\partial_{\eta_i}B_\kappa(u)\cdot Q_t^ig_i)\geq 0$. Thus (4.4) together with the assumption (A1) produce

$$\left(\partial_t^2-\tilde{L}\right)b_\kappa \geqslant r\ \langle\nabla B_\kappa(u),u\rangle + \sum_{i=0}^d\langle\mathrm{Hess}(u)(\mathfrak{d}_iu),\mathfrak{d}_iu\rangle. \tag{4.7}$$

Finally, (4.5) is implied by (4.7), items iii) and iv) from Proposition 5, the assumption (A2) and the inequality between the arithmetic and geometric mean.

□

Coming back to the proof of the lower estimate in (4.3) we now take $\{\kappa(n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $|\kappa(n)|\leqslant 1$, $\lim_n\kappa(n)=0$ and

$$|\kappa(n)|^{1/2}\int_{X_n}|r(x)E_{\kappa(n)}(u(x,t))|\,d\mu(x)\leqslant 1. \tag{4.8}$$

To see that such a sequence exists we use Proposition 5 v) and the fact that $P_tf\in\mathcal{D}$ and $Q_t^ig_i\in\mathcal{D}_i$ (hence also $P_tf\in C^\infty(X)$ and $Q_t^ig_i\in C^\infty(X)$). Next, (4.5) together with (4.8) lead to

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty}I(n,\varepsilon)\geq\gamma\int_0^\infty\int_X|F(x,t)|_*\,|G(x,t)|_*\,d\mu(x)\,te^{-\varepsilon t}\,dt,$$

and, consequently, by the monotone convergence theorem

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\liminf_{n\to\infty}I(n,\varepsilon)\geq\gamma(p)\int_0^\infty\int_X|F(x,t)|_*\,|G(x,t)|_*\,d\mu(x)\,t\,dt. \tag{4.9}$$

This is our lower estimate of (4.3).

The upper estimate of (4.3) **for** $p \geq 2$. Split the integral (4.3) as

$$\begin{aligned} I(n,\varepsilon) &= I_1(n,\varepsilon) - I_2(n,\varepsilon) \\ &:= \int_0^\infty \int_{X_n} \partial_t^2(b_{\kappa(n)})(x,t)\, d\mu(x)\, te^{-\varepsilon t} dt - \int_0^\infty \int_{X_n} \tilde{L}(b_{\kappa(n)})(x,t)\, d\mu(x)\, te^{-\varepsilon t} dt. \end{aligned}$$

First we prove that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} I_2(n,\varepsilon) = 0. \tag{4.10}$$

To see this we recall that $\tilde{L} = \sum_{i=1}^d \mathfrak{d}_i^* \mathfrak{d}_i$ with $\mathfrak{d}_i$ given by (2.5) and $\mathfrak{d}_i^*$ being the formal adjoint of $\mathfrak{d}_i$ on L^2. Then,

$$I_2(n,\varepsilon) = \sum_{i=1}^d I_2^i(n,\varepsilon) := \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^\infty \int_{X_n} (\mathfrak{d}_i^* \mathfrak{d}_i)(b_{\kappa(n)})(x,t)\, d\mu(x)\, te^{-\varepsilon t} dt,$$

and it is enough to prove that each of the integrals $I_2^i(n,\varepsilon)$ goes to 0 as $n \to \infty$. As the reasoning is symmetric in $i = 1, \dots, d$, we only give it for $I_2^1(n,\varepsilon)$. Denote

$$X^{(1)} = X_2 \times \cdots \times X_d, \quad x^{(1)} = (x_2, \dots, x_d), \quad \text{and } \mu^{(1)} = \mu_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_d.$$

Formula (2.6) together with integration by parts in the x_1 variable produces

$$I_2^1(n,\varepsilon) = \int_0^\infty \int_{X^{(1)}} \Big((p_1^2 w_1 \partial_{x_1} b_\kappa)(\Sigma_1^n, x^{(1)}) - (p_1^2 w_1 \partial_{x_1} b_\kappa)(\sigma_1^n, x^{(1)}) \Big)\, d\mu^{(1)}(x^1)\, te^{-\varepsilon t}\, dt.$$

Call γ_1^n any of the quantities σ_1^n or Σ_1^n. Then the chain rule gives

$$\begin{aligned} &(p_1^2 w_1 \partial_{x_1} b_\kappa)(\gamma_1^n, x^{(1)}) \\ &= p_1^2(\gamma_1^n) w_1(\gamma_1^n) \partial_{x_1} P_t f(\gamma_1^n, x^{(1)}) \partial_\zeta B_\kappa\big(P_t f(\gamma_1^n, x^{(1)}), Q_t \mathbf{g}(\gamma_1^n, x^{(1)})\big) \\ &+ p_1^2(\gamma_1^n) w_1(\gamma_1^n) \Big\langle \partial_{x_1} Q_t \mathbf{g}(\gamma_1^n, x^{(1)}), \nabla_\eta B_\kappa\big(P_t f(\gamma_1^n, x^{(1)}), Q_t \mathbf{g}(\gamma_1^n, x^{(1)})\big) \Big\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Since $f \in \mathcal{D}$ and $g_i \in \mathcal{D}_i$ we have that $P_t f \in \mathcal{D}$ and $Q_t \mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{D}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{D}_d$. Recall that φ_k is defined by (2.2) while $\delta_i \varphi_k$, $i = 1, \dots, d$, are given by (2.3). Now, Proposition 5 ii) implies

$$|\nabla_{\zeta,\eta} B_\kappa(\zeta,\eta)| \leqslant C_{p,q}(|\zeta|^{p-1} + |\eta|^{q-1} + |\eta| + \kappa^{q-1}). \tag{4.12}$$

Therefore, since $|\kappa(n)| \leqslant 1$, a calculation based on (4.11) together with the assumptions (T2), (T3) and Hölder's inequality produces $\lim_n I_2^1(n,\varepsilon) = 0$.

Now we focus on $I_1(n,\varepsilon)$. Since $f \in \mathcal{D}$, $g_i \in \mathcal{D}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, d$, $B_\kappa \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ and we integrate over $x \in X_n$, the double integral is absolutely convergent. Thus Fubini's theorem gives

$$I_1(n,\varepsilon) = \int_{X_n} \int_0^\infty \partial_t^2(b_{\kappa(n)})(x,t)\, te^{-\varepsilon t} dt\, d\mu(x).$$

Integrating by parts in the inner integral twice we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(n,\varepsilon) &= -\int_{X_n} \int_0^\infty \partial_t(b_{\kappa(n)})(x,t)(1-\varepsilon t) e^{-\varepsilon t}\, dt\, d\mu(x) = \int_{X_n} b_{\kappa(n)}(x,0)\, d\mu(x) \\ &+ \varepsilon^2 \int_{X_n} \int_0^\infty b_{\kappa(n)}(x,t)\, te^{-\varepsilon t}\, dt\, d\mu(x) - 2\varepsilon \int_{X_n} \int_0^\infty b_{\kappa(n)}(x,t) e^{-\varepsilon t}\, dt d\mu(x) \\ &\leqslant \int_{X_n} b_{\kappa(n)}(x,0)\, d\mu(x) + \varepsilon^2 \int_{X_n} \int_0^\infty b_{\kappa(n)}(x,t)\, te^{-\varepsilon t}\, dt\, d\mu(x) \\ &:= I_1^1(n) + I_1^2(n,\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

In the first two equalities above we neglected the boundary terms by using the chain rule together with (4.12).

First we treat $I_1^2(n,\varepsilon)$. Proposition 5 i) gives

$$I_1^2(n,\varepsilon) \leqslant \varepsilon^2 C_p \int_{X_n} \int_0^\infty \left(|P_t \Pi f(x)|^p + |Q_t \mathbf{g}(x)|^q + \max(\kappa(n)^p, \kappa(n)^q)\right) te^{-\varepsilon t} dt\, d\mu(x).$$

Take $\kappa(n)$ which satisfies (4.8) and

$$\max(\kappa(n)^{p-1/2}, \kappa(n)^{q-1/2})\, \mu(X_n) \leqslant 1. \tag{4.13}$$

Then, since $f \in \mathcal{D}$ and $g_i \in \mathcal{D}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, d$, we have

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} I_1^2(n,\varepsilon) \leqslant \varepsilon^2 C_p \int_X \int_0^\infty |P_t \Pi f(x)|^p + |Q_t \mathbf{g}(x)|^q\, t dt\, d\mu(x) \leqslant C(p,f,g)\, \varepsilon^2,$$

and, consequently,

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \limsup_{n\to\infty} I_1^2(n,\varepsilon) = 0. \tag{4.14}$$

Coming back to $I_1^1(n)$ we use Proposition 5 i) to estimate

$$I_1^1(n) \leqslant \frac{1+\gamma}{2} \int_{X_n} (|\Pi f(x)| + \kappa(n))^p\, d\mu(x) + \frac{1+\gamma}{2} \int_{X_n} (|\mathbf{g}(x)| + \kappa(n))^q\, d\mu(x).$$

Now for each $\varepsilon > 0$ we split the first integral onto $\int_{|\kappa(n)|\leqslant\varepsilon|\Pi f(x)|}$ and $\int_{|\kappa(n)|>\varepsilon|\Pi f(x)|}$ and the second integral onto $\int_{|\kappa(n)|\leqslant\varepsilon|\mathbf{g}(x)|}$ and $\int_{|\kappa(n)|>\varepsilon|\mathbf{g}(x)|}$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_1^1(n) \leqslant & \frac{1+\gamma}{2} \left((1+\varepsilon)^p \|\Pi f\|_p^p + (1+\varepsilon)^q \|\mathbf{g}\|_q^q\right) \\ & + \frac{1+\gamma}{2} \left((1+\varepsilon^{-1})^p \kappa(n)^p \mu(X_n) + (1+\varepsilon^{-1})^q \kappa(n)^q \mu(X_n)\right) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\kappa(n)$ satisfies (4.8) and (4.13) we arrive at

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \limsup_{n\to\infty} I_1^1(n) \leqslant \frac{1+\gamma}{2} \left(\|\Pi f\|_p^p + \|\mathbf{g}\|_q^q\right).$$

Together with (4.10) and (4.14) we thus proved

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \limsup_{n\to\infty} I(n,\varepsilon) \leqslant \frac{1+\gamma(p)}{2} \left(\|\Pi f\|_p^p + \|\mathbf{g}\|_q^q\right), \tag{4.15}$$

which is the upper estimate of (4.3) we need.

Completion of the proof of the bilinear embedding. Consider first $p \geq 2$. Combining the lower estimate (4.9) and the upper estimate (4.15) we obtain

$$\int_0^\infty \int_X |F(x,t)|_* \, |G(x,t)|_* \, d\mu(x)\, t\, dt \leqslant \frac{1+\gamma(p)}{2\gamma(p)} \|\Pi f\|_p^p + \|\mathbf{g}\|_q^q. \tag{4.16}$$

Finally, a polarization arguments finishes the proof. More precisely, for $s > 0$ we replace f with sf and $\mathbf{g}$ with $s^{-1}\mathbf{g}$ on both size of (4.16). Then, the left hand side is unchanged, while minimizing the right hand side over $s > 0$ we obtain

$$\int_0^\infty \int_X |F(x,t)|_* \, |G(x,t)|_* \, d\mu(x)\, t\, dt \leqslant \frac{1+\gamma(p)}{2\gamma(p)} \left(\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{1/p} + \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{1/q}\right) \|\Pi f\|_p \|\mathbf{g}\|_q.$$

Using the above inequality, a short calculation leads to (3.6). Hence, the proof of Theorem 4 for $p \geq 2$ is completed.

The proof of Theorem 4 for $p \leq 2$ proceeds analogously once we switch p with q and $P_t f$ with $Q_t \mathbf{g}$ in the definition of b_κ. Namely, we consider $\tilde{b}_\kappa(x,t) = \tilde{B}_\kappa(Q_t\mathbf{g}, P_t f)$ where $\tilde{B}_\kappa(\zeta,\eta) = B_{\kappa,q,(d,1)}(\zeta,\eta)$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$. Here $B_{\kappa,q,(d,1)}$ is the function from Proposition 5 with $m_1 = d$ and $m_2 = 1$. Then we repeat the argument used for $p \geq 2$. The function $\tilde{B}_\kappa$ satisfies items iii)-v) of Proposition 5 with p replaced by q. Therefore both the lower estimate (4.9) and the upper estimate (4.15) hold with $\gamma(p)$ replaced by $\gamma(q)$.

5. Examples

Throughout this section we apply Theorem 2 to the examples of orthogonal systems considered by Nowak and Stempak in [28, Section 7]. This is possible for all of these systems except for the Fourier-Bessel expansions [28, Section 7.8]. In this case the condition (T2) fails. Despite this failure we think that it might be possible to treat also the Fourier-Bessel expansions by the methods of the present paper. It might be also interesting to try to apply the methods of our paper to the Riesz transforms considered by Nowak and Sjögren in [27] (in the case of Jacobi trigonometric polynomial expansions).

In all of the examples we present, for more details the reader is kindly referred to [28, Sections 7.1-7.7]. The formulas for v_i and $r = \sum_{i=1}^d r_i$ in the examples below follow directly from (2.1) and (2.7). Recall that

$$\mu = \mu_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_d, \qquad X = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_d, \qquad L^p = L^p(X), \qquad \|\cdot\|_p = \|\cdot\|_{L^p},$$

and

$$p^* = \max\left(p, \frac{p}{p-1}\right).$$

5.1. **Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Operator - Hermite polynomial expansions.** Here we consider

$$p_i = 1, \quad q_i = 0, \quad a_i = 0, \quad w_i(x_i) = \pi^{-1/2} e^{-x_i^2}, \quad d\mu_i(x_i) = w_i(x_i)\, dx_i,$$

on $X_i = \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\delta_i = \mathfrak{d}_i = \partial_{x_i}, \quad \delta_i^* = -\partial_{x_i} + 2x_i, \qquad v_i = [\delta_i, \delta_i^*] = 2, \qquad r = 0, \tag{5.1}$$

and

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^d L_i = -\Delta + 2\langle x, \nabla \rangle,$$

is the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator on $X = \mathbb{R}^d$. It is essentially self-adjoint on $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with the self-adjoint extension given by

$$Lf = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d} |k| \left\langle f, \tilde{H}_k \right\rangle_{L^2} \tilde{H}_k.$$

In the formula above $|k| = k_1 + \cdots + k_d$, the symbol L^2 stands for $L^2 = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mu)$, while $\{\tilde{H}_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d}$ is the system of L^2 normalized Hermite polynomials, see [28, Section 7.1] and [17, p. 60]. In this section we take

$$\varphi_k = \tilde{H}_k, \qquad k \in \mathbb{N}^d.$$

Note that μ is a probability measure in this setting. The projection Π becomes

$$\Pi f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d, k \neq 0} \left\langle f, \tilde{H}_k \right\rangle_{L^2} \tilde{H}_k, \qquad f \in L^2.$$

Then

$$(I-\Pi)f = \left\langle f, \tilde{H}_0 \right\rangle_{L^2} \tilde{H}_0,$$

and, since $\tilde{H}_0 = 1$, the operator $I - \Pi$ is the projection onto the constants given by

$$(I-\Pi)f(x) = \int_X f(y)\, d\mu(y), \qquad x \in X.$$

Hence, by Holder's inequality $\|(I-\Pi)f\|_p \leqslant \|f\|_p$, and, consequently,

$$\|\Pi f\|_p \leqslant 2\|f\|_p, \qquad 1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty. \tag{5.2}$$

Next

$$\delta_i \tilde{H}_k = \sqrt{2k_j}\, \tilde{H}_{k-e_j}, \tag{5.3}$$

where, by convention $\tilde{H}_{k-e_j} = 0$ if $k_j = 0$. This convention is also used for the examples presented in the next sections. The Riesz transform is defined by

$$R_i f = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}^d, k\neq 0} \left(\frac{k_j}{|k|}\right)^{1/2} \left\langle f, \tilde{H}_k \right\rangle_{L^2} \tilde{H}_{k-e_i}, \qquad f \in L^2.$$

Dimension-free estimates for the vector $\mathbf{R}f = (R_1 f, \dots, R_d f)$ were proved by Meyer [21] (see also [13] and [31] for different proofs). Later Dragičević and Volberg [10, Corollary 0.4] found a proof which uses the Bellman function method. The best result in terms of the size of the constants is due to Arcozzi [1, Corollary 2.4] who proved that $\|\mathbf{R}f\|_p \leqslant 2(p^*-1)\|f\|_p$, $1 < p < \infty$. An application of Theorem 2 produces similar, though weaker, bound.

Theorem 7. *Fix $1 < p < \infty$. Then, for $f \in L^p$ such that $\int_X f(y)\, d\mu(y) = 0$, we have*

$$\|\mathbf{R}f\|_p \leqslant 24(p^*-1)\|f\|_p. \tag{5.4}$$

Remark. Using (5.2) the bound (5.4) may be extended for all $f \in L^p$ with 24 being replaced by 48.

Proof. We apply Theorem 2. In order to do so we need to check that it's assumptions are satisfied.

By (5.1) we see that (A1) and (A2) (with $K = 0$) hold. Condition (T1) is proved by an easy calculation based on integration by parts. The assumption (T2) is also straightforward. Finally, (T3) follows from Lemma 1 and (5.3).

Now, if $\int_X f(y)\, d\mu(y) = 0$ then $\Pi f = f$. Thus, an application of Theorem 2 completes the proof. □

5.2. **Laguerre operator - Laguerre polynomial expansions.** Here, for a parameter $\alpha \in (-1,\infty)^d$, we consider

$$p_i = \sqrt{x_i}, \quad q_i = 0, \quad a_i = 0, \quad w_i(x_i) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_i+1)}\, x_i^{\alpha_i} e^{-x_i} dx_i, \quad d\mu_i(x_i) = w_i(x_i)\, dx_i,$$

on $X_i = (0,\infty)$. Then $\delta_i = \mathfrak{d}_i = \sqrt{x_i}\, \partial_{x_i}$, and thus

$$\delta_i^* = -\sqrt{x_i}\, \partial_{x_i} - \frac{\alpha_i + 1/2}{\sqrt{x_i}} + \sqrt{x_i}, \quad v_i = [\delta_i, \delta_i^*] = \frac{\alpha_i + 1/2 + x_i}{2x_i}, \quad r = 0, \tag{5.5}$$

In this case

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^d L_i = \sum_{i=1}^d -x_i \partial_{x_i}^2 - (\alpha_i + 1 - x_i)\partial_{x_i},$$

is the Laguerre operator on $X = (0,\infty)^d$. It is symmetric on $C_c^\infty((0,\infty)^d)$ and has a self-adjoint extension

$$Lf = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}^d} |k| \left\langle f, \tilde{L}_k^\alpha \right\rangle_{L^2} \tilde{L}_k^\alpha;$$

here $L^2 = L^2((0,\infty)^d, \mu)$, while $\{\tilde{L}_k^\alpha\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}^d}$ is the system of L^2 normalized Laguerre polynomials, see [28, Section 7.2] and [17, p. 76]. These Laguerre polynomials are our functions φ_k in this section, namely

$$\varphi_k = \tilde{L}_k^\alpha, \qquad k \in \mathbb{N}^d.$$

Next we have

$$\delta_i \tilde{L}_k^\alpha = \sqrt{k_j}\sqrt{x_i}\tilde{L}_{k-e_i}^{\alpha+e_i}, \tag{5.6}$$

while the projection Π becomes

$$\Pi f = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}^d, k\neq 0} \left\langle f, \tilde{L}_k^\alpha \right\rangle_{L^2} \tilde{L}_k^\alpha, \qquad f \in L^2.$$

A repetition of the argument from the previous section shows that $\Pi f = f$ if and only if $\int_X f(y)\, d\mu(y) = 0$ and

$$\|\Pi f\|_p \leqslant 2\|f\|_p, \qquad 1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty. \tag{5.7}$$

The Riesz transform is then given by

$$R_i f = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}^d, k\neq 0} \left(\frac{k_j}{|k|}\right)^{1/2} \left\langle f, \tilde{L}_k^\alpha \right\rangle_{L^2} \sqrt{x_i}\tilde{L}_{k-e_i}^{\alpha+e_i}, \qquad f \in L^2.$$

Dimension-free bounds for single Riesz transforms R_i were first studied by Guttierrez, Incognito and Torrea [14] (half-integer multi-indices), and generalized by Nowak [25] (to multi-indices $\alpha \in [-1/2,\infty)^d$). Recently, the author [36, Theorem 4.1 b)] obtained such bounds for $\alpha \in (-1,\infty)^d$, while Mauceri and Spinelli [20, Theorem 5.2] proved a dimension-free and linear in p^* bound for the vectorial Riesz transforms $\mathbf{R}f = (R_1 f, \ldots, R_d f)$ (and $\alpha \in [-1/2,\infty)^d$). All the bounds mentioned in this paragraph are also independent of the parameter α (appropriately restricted).

By using Theorem 2 we obtain the following result which coincides with [20, Theorem 5.2] in the case of Riesz transforms acting on functions.

Theorem 8. *Fix $\alpha \in [-\frac{1}{2},\infty)^d$ and $1 < p < \infty$. Then, for $f \in L^p$ which satisfy $\int_X f(y)\, d\mu(y) = 0$, we have*

$$\|\mathbf{R}f\|_p \leqslant 24(p^* - 1)\|f\|_p. \tag{5.8}$$

Remark. By (5.7) we have the same bound for general $f \in L^p$ with the constant being twice as large.

Proof. We are going to apply Theorem 2, so we need to verify its assumptions.

By (5.5) we see that, if $\alpha \in [-1/2,\infty)^d$ then (A1) and (A2) (with $K = 0$) are satisfied. Moreover, the assumptions (T1) and (T2) follow from a direct calculation. Next, for such α the condition (T3) can be deduced from Lemma 1 together with (5.6).

Now, if $\int_X f(y)\, d\mu(y) = 0$ then $\Pi f = f$. Thus, using Theorem 2 we complete the proof of Theorem 8. □

5.3. **Jacobi operator - Jacobi polynomial expansions.** In this section for parameters $\alpha, \beta \in (-1,\infty)^d$, we consider

$$p_i = \sqrt{1-x_i^2}, \quad q_i = 0, \quad a_i = 0,$$
$$w_i(x_i) = \frac{1}{C(\alpha_i,\beta_i)}\,(1-x_i)^{\alpha_i}(1+x_i)^{\beta_i}dx_i, \quad d\mu_i(x_i) = w_i(x_i)dx_i, \quad X_i = (-1,1),$$

where $C(\alpha_i,\beta_i)$ is such that $\mu_i(X_i)=1$. Then $\delta_i = \mathfrak{d}_i = \sqrt{1-x_i^2}\,\partial_{x_i}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_i^* &= -\sqrt{1-x_i^2}\,\partial_{x_i} + (\alpha_i+1/2)\sqrt{\frac{1+x_i}{1-x_i}} - (\beta_i+1/2)\sqrt{\frac{1-x_i}{1+x_i}}, \\ v_i &= [\delta_i,\delta_i^*] = \frac{\alpha_i+1/2}{1-x_i} + \frac{\beta_i+1/2}{1+x_i}, \qquad r=0. \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

Here

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^d L_i = \sum_{i=1}^d -(1-x_i^2)\partial_{x_i}^2 - (\beta_i-\alpha_i-(\alpha_i+\beta_i+2)x_i)\partial_{x_i},$$

is the Jacobi operator on $X=(-1,1)^d$. Let $L^2 = L^2((-1,1)^d,\mu)$, and denote by $\{\tilde P_k^{\alpha,\beta}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}^d}$ the system of L^2 normalized Jacobi polynomials, see [28, Section 7.1] and [35, Chapter 4]. These Jacobi polynomials are our functions φ_k in this section, namely

$$\varphi_k = \tilde P_k^{\alpha,\beta}, \qquad k\in\mathbb{N}.$$

The Jacobi operator is symmetric on $C_c^\infty((-1,1)^d)$ and has a self-adjoint extension

$$Lf = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}^d} \lambda_k \left\langle f, \tilde P_k^{\alpha,\beta}\right\rangle_{L^2} \tilde P_k^{\alpha,\beta},$$

where $\lambda_k = \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_{k_i}^i$ with $\lambda_{k_i}^i = k_i(k_i+\alpha_i+\beta_i+1)$, $i=1,\dots,d$. The projection Π is the identity operator, except for the case when $\alpha+\beta=(-1,\dots,-1)$. In this case, similarly to the previous sections we have $\Pi f = f$ precisely when $\int_X f(y)\,d\mu(y)=0$ and

$$\|\Pi f\|_p \leqslant 2\|f\|_p, \qquad 1\leqslant p\leqslant\infty. \tag{5.10}$$

The action of δ_i on Jacobi polynomials is given by

$$\delta_i \tilde P_k^{\alpha,\beta} = \sqrt{k_i(k_i+\alpha_i+\beta_i+1)}\sqrt{1-x_i^2}\,\tilde P_{k-e_i}^{\alpha+e_i,\beta+e_i}, \tag{5.11}$$

and the Riesz transform becomes

$$R_i f = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}^d,k\neq 0} \left(\frac{\lambda_{k_i}^i}{\lambda_k}\right)^{1/2} \left\langle f, \tilde P_k^{\alpha,\beta}\right\rangle_{L^2} \sqrt{1-x_i^2}\,\tilde P_{k-e_i}^{\alpha+e_i,\beta+e_i}, \qquad f\in L^2.$$

Dimension and parameter free estimates for single Riesz transforms R_i are due to Nowak and Sjögren [26], who proved them for $\alpha,\beta\in[-1/2,\infty)^d$.

An application of Theorem 2 generalizes [26, Theorem 5.1] to the vectorial Riesz transforms $\mathbf{R}f = (R_1 f,\dots,R_d f)$. This result is new according to our knowledge. Moreover, we obtain an explicit estimate which is linear in p^*.

Theorem 9. *Let $\alpha,\beta\in[-\frac12,\infty)^d$ and assume that at least one of the parameters α,β is different than $(-1/2,\dots,-1/2)$. Then, for $1<p<\infty$, we have*

$$\|\mathbf{R}f\|_p \leqslant 24\,(p^*-1)\|f\|_p, \qquad f\in L^p. \tag{5.12}$$

When $\alpha = \beta = (-1/2, \dots, -1/2)$ the bound (5.12) *holds under the additional constraint* $\int_X f(y)\, d\mu(y) = 0$.

Remark. As in the previous sections (5.12) holds for all $f \in L^p$ also in the case $\alpha = \beta = (-1/2, \dots, -1/2)$ with $48\,(p^* - 1)$ in place of $24\,(p^* - 1)$. This follows from (5.10).

Proof. We are going to apply Theorem 2, so we need to verify its assumptions for such parameters α, β.

By (5.9) we see that, if $\alpha, \beta \in [-1/2, \infty)^d$ then (A1) and (A2) (with $K = 0$) are satisfied. Similarly, using (5.11) one can see that, for such α and β, the conditions (T1) and (T2) also hold. The assumption (T3) follows from Lemma 1 together with (5.11).

Therefore Theorem 2 completes the proof. When $\alpha = \beta = (-1/2, \dots, -1/2)$ we also need to use the fact that if $\int_X f(y)\, d\mu(y) = 0$ then $\Pi f = f$. □

5.4. **Harmonic oscillator - Hermite function expansions.** Here we take

$$p_i = 1, \quad q_i = x_i, \quad a_i = 1, \quad w_i(x_i) = 1, \quad d\mu_i(x_i) = dx_i, \quad X_i = \mathbb{R},$$

so that

$$\delta_i = \partial_{x_i} + x_i, \quad \mathfrak{d}_i = \partial_{x_i}, \quad \delta_i^* = -\partial_{x_i} + x_i, \quad v_i = [\delta_i, \delta_i^*] = 2, \qquad r(x) = |x|^2, \tag{5.13}$$

and L is the harmonic oscillator

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^{d} L_i = -\Delta + |x|^2.$$

It is well known that L is essentially self-adjoint on $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with the self-adjoint extension given by

$$Lf = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d} (2|k| + d)\, \langle f, h_k \rangle_{L^2}\, h_k;$$

here $L^2 = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, dx)$, while $\{h_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d}$ is the system of L^2 normalized Hermite functions, see [28, Section 7.4]. The functions h_k are our φ_k's in this section. They are of the form $h_k = h_{k_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes h_{k_d}$ where

$$h_{k_i}(x_i) = \tilde{H}_{k_i}(x_i) e^{-x_i^2/2}, \qquad x_i \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{5.14}$$

with $\tilde{H}_{k_i}$ being the Hermite polynomial from Section 5.1. Note that as 0 is not a L^2 eigenvalue of L the projection Π equals the identity operator.

Next

$$\delta_i h_k = \sqrt{2k_j}\, h_{k - e_j}, \tag{5.15}$$

and thus the Riesz transform is

$$R_i f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d, k \neq 0} \left(\frac{k_j}{|k|} \right)^{1/2} \langle f, h_k \rangle_{L^2}\, h_{k - e_i}, \qquad f \in L^2.$$

Here dimension-free bounds for the vector of Riesz transforms can be deduced, by means of transference, from the paper of Couhlon, Müller, and Zienkiewicz [7] (see also [15] and [18] for different proofs). Moreover, a dimension-free and linear in p bound for the vector of Riesz transforms was proved by Dragičević and Volberg in [9, Proposition 4].

Using Theorem 2 we are able to obtain a more explicit estimate for the vector $\mathbf{R}f$ than in [9]. However, contrary to [9] our method says nothing about the vector of adjoint transforms $\mathbf{R}_* f = (\delta_1^* L^{-1/2} f, \dots, \delta_d^* L^{-1/2} f)$.

Theorem 10. *For $1 < p < \infty$ we have*

$$\|\mathbf{R}f\|_p \leqslant 48(p^* - 1)\|f\|_p, \qquad f \in L^p. \tag{5.16}$$

Proof. We apply Theorem 2. In order to do so we need to check that it's assumptions are satisfied.

The equation (5.13) gives (A1) and (A2) with $K = 1$. Condition (T1) is straightforward. The assumption (T2) holds since, by (5.14), Hermite functions h_{k_i} vanish rapidly at $\pm\infty$. Finally, (T3) follows from (5.15) and the (well-known) density of Hermite functions in L^p, $1 \leqslant p < \infty$.

Thus, an application of Theorem 2 is justified and the proof of Theorem 10 is completed. □

5.5. **Laguerre operator - Laguerre function expansions of Hermite type.** For a parameter $\alpha \in (-1, \infty)^d$ we consider

$$p_i = 1, \quad q_i = x_i - \frac{\alpha_i + 1/2}{x_i}, \quad a_i = 1, \quad w_i(x_i) = 1, \quad d\mu_i(x_i) = dx_i, \quad X_i = (0, \infty),$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta_i = \partial_{x_i} + x_i - \frac{\alpha_i + 1/2}{x_i}, \quad \mathfrak{d}_i = \partial_{x_i}, \quad \delta_i^* = -\partial_{x_i} + x_i - \frac{\alpha_i + 1/2}{x_i}, \\ &v_i = [\delta_i, \delta_i^*] = 2, \qquad r(x) = |x|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\alpha_i^2 - 1/4}{x_i^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

Here L is the Laguerre operator

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^d L_i = -\Delta + |x|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\alpha_i^2 - 1/4}{x_i^2}.$$

Then L is symmetric on $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and has a self-adjoint extension given by

$$Lf = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d} (4|k| + 2d + 2|\alpha|)\, \langle f, \varphi_k^\alpha \rangle_{L^2}\, \varphi_k^\alpha.$$

In the above formula we denote $|k| = k_1 + \cdots + k_d$ and $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_d$; note that $|\alpha|$ may be negative. By L^2 we mean $L^2((0,\infty)^d, dx)$, while $\{\varphi_k^\alpha\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d}$ stands for the system of L^2 normalized Laguerre functions of Hermite type, see [28, Section 7.5]. The functions φ_k^α are the tensor products $\varphi_k^\alpha = \varphi_{k_1}^{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi_{k_d}^{\alpha_d}$ with

$$\varphi_{k_i}^{\alpha_i}(x_i) = \sqrt{2}\, \tilde{L}_{k_i}^{\alpha_i}(x_i^2)\, x_i^{\alpha_i + 1/2} e^{-x_i^2/2}, \qquad x_i > 0, \tag{5.18}$$

and $\tilde{L}_{k_i}^{\alpha_i}$ being the Laguerre polynomials from Section 5.2. In this section we take

$$\varphi_k = \varphi_k^\alpha.$$

As 0 is not an L^2 eigenvalue of L the projection Π equals the identity operator.

Next

$$\delta_i \varphi_k^\alpha = -2\sqrt{2k_j}\, \varphi_{k - e_j}^{\alpha + e_j}, \tag{5.19}$$

and thus the Riesz transform is

$$R_i f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d, k \neq 0} \left(\frac{4k_i}{4|k| + 2|\alpha| + 2d} \right)^{1/2} \langle f, \varphi_k^\alpha \rangle_{L^2}\, \varphi_{k - e_j}^{\alpha + e_j}, \qquad f \in L^2.$$

Dimension free bounds for single Riesz transforms R_i were obtained by Stempak and the author [34, Theorem 5.1], for a certain restricted range of the parameter α.

In this section, for $\alpha \in (1/2,\infty)^d$ we denote

$$C(\alpha) = \max_{i=1,\dots,d} \frac{\alpha_i + 1/2}{\alpha_i - 1/2}. \tag{5.20}$$

By using Theorem 2 we obtain the following strengthening of [34, Theorem 5.1] in the case $\alpha \in (1/2,\infty)^d$.

Theorem 11. *Let $\alpha \in (1/2,\infty)^d$. Then, for $1 < p < \infty$, we have*

$$\|\mathbf{R} f\|_p \leqslant 24(1+\sqrt{C(\alpha)})(p^*-1)\|f\|_p, \qquad f \in L^p. \tag{5.21}$$

Proof. We apply Theorem 2. In order to do so we need to check that it's assumptions are satisfied.

The formula (5.17) gives (A1) and (A2) for $\alpha \in (1/2,\infty)^d$ with $K = C(\alpha)$. Conditions (T1) and (T2) follow from (5.18) and (5.19). Finally, (T3) follows from [24, Lemma 5.2] and (5.19).

Thus, an application of Theorem 2 is justified and the proof of Theorem 11 is completed. □

5.6. **Laguerre operator - Laguerre function expansions of convolution type.** For a parameter $\alpha \in (-1,\infty)^d$ we consider

$$\begin{aligned} &p_i = 1, \quad q_i = x_i, \quad a_i = 2\alpha_i + 2, \\ &w_i(x_i) = x_i^{2\alpha_i+1}, \quad d\mu_i(x_i) = w_i(x_i)dx_i, \qquad X_i = (0,\infty), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta_i = \partial_{x_i} + x_i, \quad \mathfrak{d}_i = \partial_{x_i}, \quad \delta_i^* = -\partial_{x_i} + x_i - \frac{2\alpha_i+1}{x_i}, \\ &v_i = [\delta_i, \delta_i^*] = 2 + \frac{2\alpha+1}{x_i^2}, \qquad r(x) = |x|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{5.22}$$

Here L is the Laguerre operator

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^d L_i = -\Delta + |x|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{2\alpha_i+1}{x_i}\partial_{x_i}.$$

Then L is symmetric on $C_c^\infty((0,\infty)^d)$ and has a self-adjoint extension given by

$$Lf = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}^d} (4|k| + 2d + 2|\alpha|)\, \langle f, \ell_k^\alpha\rangle_{L^2}\, \ell_k^\alpha;$$

here $L^2 = L^2((0,\infty)^d, w(x)dx)$, while $\{\ell_k^\alpha\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}^d}$ is the system of L^2 normalized Laguerre functions of convolution type, see [28, Section 7.6]. The functions ℓ_k^α are of the form $\ell_k^\alpha = \ell_{k_1}^{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \ell_{k_d}^{\alpha_d}$ with

$$\ell_{k_i}^{\alpha_i}(x_i) = \sqrt{2}\, \tilde{L}_{k_i}^{\alpha_i}(x_i^2)\, e^{-x_i^2/2}, \qquad x_i > 0, \tag{5.23}$$

and $\tilde{L}_{k_i}^{\alpha_i}$ being the Laguerre polynomials from Section 5.2. In this section we take

$$\varphi_k = \ell_k^\alpha.$$

Also here, as 0 is not a L^2 eigenvalue of L the projection Π equals the identity operator.

Next

$$\delta_i \ell_k^\alpha = -2\sqrt{2k_i}\, x_i\, \ell_{k-e_i}^{\alpha+e_i}, \tag{5.24}$$

and thus the Riesz transform is

$$R_i f = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}^d, k\neq 0} \left(\frac{4k_i}{4|k|+2|\alpha|+2d}\right)^{1/2} \langle f, \ell_k^\alpha\rangle_{L^2}\, \ell_{k-e_i}^{\alpha+e_i}, \qquad f\in L^2.$$

The boundedness of these Riesz transforms on L^p was proved by Nowak and Stempak, see [29, Theorem 3.4]. In their paper the Calderón-Zygmund theory was used, thus the L^p bounds depended heavily on the dimension d. Applying Theorem 2 we obtain a dimension-free bound for the vectorial Riesz transform $\mathbf{R}f = (R_1 f, \dots, R_d f)$.

Theorem 12. *Let $\alpha \in [-1/2,\infty)^d$. Then, for $1<p<\infty$, we have*

$$\|\mathbf{R}f\|_p \leqslant 48(p^*-1)\|f\|_p, \qquad f\in L^p. \tag{5.25}$$

Proof. A continuity argument based on (5.23) and (5.24) shows it suffices to prove (5.25) for $\alpha\in(-1/2,\infty)^d$. We are going to apply Theorem 2. In order to do so we need to check that it's assumptions are satisfied.

The formula (5.22) gives (A1) and (A2) with $K=1$. Conditions (T1) and (T2) follow from (5.23) and (5.24). It remains to prove (T3). For the space $\mathcal{D}$ it is demonstrated in [24, Lemma 4.3]. In the case of $\mathcal{D}_i$, $i=1,\dots,d$, the assumption (T3) can be deduced from (T3) for $\mathcal{D}$ together with (5.24).

Thus, an application of Theorem 2 is justified and the proof of Theorem 12 is completed. □

5.7. **Jacobi operator - Jacobi function expansions.** For paremeters $\alpha,\beta\in(-1,\infty)^d$ we consider

$$\begin{aligned} p_i = 1, \quad q_i &= -\frac{2\alpha_i+1}{4}\cot\frac{x_i}{2} + \frac{2\beta_i+1}{4}\tan\frac{x_i}{2}, \quad a_i = (\alpha_i+\beta_1+1)^2/4,\\ w_i(x_i) = 1, \quad d\mu_i(x_i) &= dx_i, \quad X_i = (0,\pi), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_i &= \partial_{x_i} - \frac{2\alpha_i+1}{4}\cot\frac{x_i}{2} + \frac{2\beta_i+1}{4}\tan\frac{x_i}{2}, \quad \mathfrak{d}_i = \partial_{x_i},\\ \delta_i^* &= -\partial_{x_i} - \frac{2\alpha_i+1}{4}\cot\frac{x_i}{2} + \frac{2\beta_i+1}{4}\tan\frac{x_i}{2},\\ v_i &= [\delta_i,\delta_i^*] = \frac{2\alpha_i+1}{8\cos^2\frac{x_i}{2}} + \frac{2\beta_i+1}{8\sin^2\frac{x_i}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^d r_i(x) = \left(\frac{2\alpha_i+1}{4}\right)^2\cot^2\frac{x_i}{2} + \left(\frac{2\beta_i+1}{4}\right)^2\tan^2\frac{x_i}{2} + \frac{(\alpha_i+\beta_i+1)^2-(2\alpha_i+1)(2\beta_i+1)}{16}. \tag{5.26}$$

Here L is the Jacobi operator

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^d L_i = -\Delta + \sum_{i=1}^d \left(\frac{4\alpha_i^2-1}{16\sin^2\frac{x_i}{2}} + \frac{4\beta_i^2-1}{16\cos^2\frac{x_i}{2}}\right).$$

Then L is symmetric on $C_c^\infty((0,\pi)^d)$ and has a self-adjoint extension given by

$$Lf = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}^d} \lambda_k \left\langle f, \phi_k^{\alpha,\beta}\right\rangle_{L^2} \phi_k^{\alpha,\beta};$$

here $\lambda_k = \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_{k_i}^i$ with $\lambda_{k_i}^i = (k_i + \frac{\alpha_i+\beta_i+1}{2})^2$, $L^2 = L^2((0,\pi)^d, dx)$, while $\{\phi_k^{\alpha,\beta}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}^d}$ is the system of L^2 normalized Jacobi functions, see [28, Section 7.7]. These Jacobi function have the tensor product form $\phi_k^{\alpha,\beta} = \phi_{k_1}^{\alpha_1,\beta_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_{k_d}^{\alpha_d,\beta_d}$ with

$$\phi_{k_i}^{\alpha_i,\beta_i}(x_i) = 2^{(\alpha_i+\beta_i+1)/2} \tilde{P}_{k_i}^{\alpha_i,\beta_i}(\cos x_i) \left(\sin\frac{x_i}{2}\right)^{\alpha_i+1/2} \left(\cos\frac{x_i}{2}\right)^{\beta_i+1/2}, \tag{5.27}$$

for $x_i \in (0,\pi)$, and $\tilde{P}_{k_i}^{\alpha_i,\beta_i}$ being the Jacobi polynomials from Section 5.3. In this section we take

$$\varphi_k = \phi_k^{\alpha,\beta}.$$

In the case when $\alpha, \beta \in [1/2,\infty)^d$ the L^2 kernel of L is trivial, and thus the projection Π equals the identity operator.

Next

$$\delta_i \phi_k^{\alpha,\beta} = -\sqrt{k_i(k_i+\alpha_i+\beta_i+1)}\,\phi_{k-e_i}^{\alpha+e_i,\beta+e_i}, \tag{5.28}$$

and thus the Riesz transform is

$$R_i f = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}^d, k\neq 0} \left(\frac{k_i(k_i+\alpha_i+\beta_i+1)}{\lambda_k}\right)^{1/2} \left\langle f, \phi_k^{\alpha,\beta}\right\rangle_{L^2} \phi_{k-e_i}^{\alpha+e_i,\beta+e_i}, \qquad f \in L^2.$$

In the case $d = 1$ the L^p boundedness of these Riesz transforms was proved in [33]. Using Theorem 2 we obtain the following multi-dimensional bounds.

Theorem 13. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in [1/2,\infty)^d$. Then, for $1 < p < \infty$, we have*

$$\|\mathbf{R} f\|_p \leqslant 48\,(p^*-1)\|f\|_p, \qquad f \in L^p. \tag{5.29}$$

Proof. A continuity argument based on (5.27) and (5.28) allows us to focus on $\alpha, \beta \in (1/2,\infty)^d$. We are going to apply Theorem 2 for such parameters α and β. In order to do so we need to check that its assumptions are satisfied.

The formula (5.26) gives (A1) and (A2) (with $K = 1$). Conditions (T1) and (T2) follow from (5.27) and (5.28), while (T3) can be deduced from the density of polynomials in $C((-1,1))$ together with (5.27) and (5.28).

Thus, an application of Theorem 2 is permitted and the proof of Theorem 13 is completed. □

Acknowledgments

This paper grew out of discussions with Oliver Dragičević during the authors visit at the University of Ljubljana in October 2014. The author is greatly indebted to Oliver Dragičević for these discussions and later correspondence on the subject of this article. The author is also grateful to Adam Nowak for a conversation about Jacobi polynomials.

Part of the research presented in this paper was carried over while the author was 'Assegnista di ricerca' at the Università di Milano-Bicocca, working under the mentorship of Stefano Meda. The research was supported by Italian PRIN 2010 "Real and complex manifolds: geometry, topology and harmonic analysis"; Polish funds for sciences, National Science Centre (NCN), Poland, Research Project 2014/15/D/ST1/00405; and by the Foundation for Polish Science START Scholarship.

References

[1] N. Arcozzi, *Riesz transforms on compact Lie groups, spheres and Gauss space*, Ark. Mat. 36 (1998), pp. 201–231.

[2] Bañuelos, R., *Martingale transforms and related singular integrals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 293 (1986), pp. 547–563.

[3] Bañuelos, R., Wang, G., *Sharp inequalities for martingales with applications to the Beurling-Ahlfors and Riesz transforms*, Duke Math. J. 80 (1995), pp. 575–600.

[4] C. Berg, J. P. R. Christensen, *Density questions in the classical theory of moments,* Ann. de le'Institut Fourier, (3) 31 (1981), pp. 99-114.

[5] A. Carbonaro, O. Dragičević, *Functional calculus for generators of symmetric contraction semigroups*, to appear in Duke Math. J., arxiv (2014): `http://arxiv.org/abs/1308.1338`

[6] A. Carbonaro, O. Dragičević, *Bellman function and dimension-free estimates in a theorem of Bakry*, J. Funct. Anal. 265 (2013), pp. 1085–1104.

[7] T. Coulhon, D. Müller, and J. Zienkiewicz, *About Riesz transforms on the Heisenberg groups*, Math. Ann. 305 (1996), pp. 369–379.

[8] O. Dragičević, A. Volberg, *Bilinear embedding for real elliptic differential operators in divergence form with potentials*, J. Funct. Anal. 261 (2011), pp. 2816–2828.

[9] O. Dragičević, A. Volberg, *Linear dimension-free estimates in the embedding theorem for Schrödinger operators*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 85 (2012), pp. 191–222.

[10] O. Dragičević, A. Volberg, *Bellman functions and dimensionless estimates of Littlewood-Paley type*, J. Oper. Theory (1) 56 (2006), pp. 167–198.

[11] J. Duoandikoetxea, J. L. Rubio de Francia, *Estimations indépendantes de la dimension pour les transformées de Riesz*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, (7) 300 (1985), pp. 193–196.

[12] L. Forzani, E. Sasso, and R. Scotto, *L^p boundedness of Riesz transforms for orthogonal polynomials in a general context*, Studia Math., (1) 231 (2015), pp. 45-71.

[13] C. E. Gutiérrez, *On the Riesz transforms for Gaussian measures*, J. Funct. Anal. 120 (1994), pp. 107–134.

[14] C. E. Gutiérrez, A. Incognito, J. L. Torrea, *Riesz transforms, g-functions and multipliers for the Laguerre semigroup*, Houston J. Math. 21 (2001), pp. 579–592.

[15] E. Harboure, L. de Rosa, C. Segovia, and J. L. Torrea, *L^p-dimension-free boundedness for Riesz transforms associated to Hermite functions*, Math. Ann. 328 (2004), pp. 653–682.

[16] Iwaniec, T. and Martin, G., *Riesz transforms and related singular integrals*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 473 (1996), pp. 25–57.

[17] N. N. Lebedev, *Special Functions and Their Applications*, Dover, New York, 1972.

[18] F. Lust-Piquard, *dimension-free estimates for Riesz transforms associated to the harmonic oscillator on $\mathbb{R}^n$*, Pot. Anal. 24 (2006), pp. 47–62.

[19] G. Mauceri, M. Spinelli, *Riesz Transforms and Spectral Multipliers of the Hodge-Laguerre Operator*, `http://arxiv.org/abs/1407.2838`

[20] G. Mauceri, M. Spinelli, *Riesz Transforms and Spectral Multipliers of the Hodge-Laguerre Operator*, J. Funct. Anal. 269 (2015), pp. 3402–3457.

[21] P. A. Meyer, *Transformationse de Riesz pour les lois gaussiennes*, Séminaire de Proba. XVIII, Springer Lecture Notes 1059 (1984), pp. 179–293.

[22] F. Nazarov, S. Treil, *The hunt for a Bellman function: applications to estimates for singular integral operators and to other classical problems of harmonic analysis*, (Russian) Algebra i Analiz (5) 8 (1996), 32–162; translation in St. Petersburg Math. J. (5) 8 (1997), pp. 721–824.

[23] F. Nazarov, S. Treil, A. Volberg, *The Bellman functions and two-weight inequalities for Haar multipliers*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. (4) 12 (1999), pp. 909–928.

[24] A. Nowak, *Heat-diffusion and Poisson integrals for Laguerre and special Hermite expansions on weighted L^p spaces*, Studia Math. (3) 158 (2003).

[25] A. Nowak, *On Riesz transforms for Laguerre expansions*, J. Funct. Anal. (1) 215 (2004), 217–240.

[26] A. Nowak, P. Sjögren, *Riesz transforms for Jacobi expansions*, J. Anal. Math. 104 (2008), 341–369.

[27] A. Nowak, P. Sjögren, *Calderón-Zygmund operators related to Jacobi expansions*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. (4) 18 (2012), pp. 717–749.

[28] A. Nowak, K. Stempak, *L^2-Theory of Riesz Transforms for Orthogonal Expansions*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. (6) 12 (2006), 675–711.

[29] A. Nowak, K. Stempak, *Riesz transforms for multi-dimensional Laguerre function expansions*, Adv. Math. 215 (2007), 642–678.
[30] A. Nowak, K. Stempak, *A symmetrized conjugacy scheme for orthogonal expansions*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, 143A, 427–443, 2013.
[31] G. Pisier, *Riesz transforms: A simpler proof of P.A. Meyer's inequality*, Lecture Notes in Math., (1) 1321 (1988), 485–501.
[32] E. M. Stein, *Some results in Harmonic Analysis in* $\mathbb{R}^n$ *for* $n \to \infty$, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 9 (1983), 71–73.
[33] K. Stempak, *Jacobi conjugate expansions*, Studia Sci. Math. Hung., (1) 44 (2007).
[34] K. Stempak, B. Wróbel, *Dimension-free* L^p *estimates for Riesz transforms associated with Laguerre function expansions of Hermite type*, Taiwanese J. Math. (1) 17 (2013), 63–81.
[35] Szegö, G. (1975). Orthogonal polynomials, fourth ed., Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.
[36] Wróbel, B., *Dimension-free* L^p *estimates for single Riesz transforms via an* H^∞ *joint functional calculus*, J. Funct. Anal. (9) 267 (2014), pp. 3332-3350.

Mathematical Institute, Universität Bonn, Endenicher Allee 60, D–53115 Bonn, Germany
& Instytut Matematyczny, Uniwersytet Wrocławski, pl. Grunwaldzki 2/4, 50-384 Wrocław, Poland
E-mail address: blazej.wrobel@math.uni.wroc.pl